



Date: 31/08/2020

To: Chair and Directors, Cariboo Regional District Board

And To: John MacLean, Chief Administrative Officer

From: Nigel Whitehead, Manager of Planning Services

Date of Meeting: Cariboo Regional District Board_Sep11_2020

File: 3090-20/20200020

Short Summary:

Area G – DVP20200020

4675 Kitwanga Drive

Lot C, District Lot 76, Lillooet District, Plan 36278

(3090-20/20200020 – Johanson)

Director Richmond

Voting:

Stakeholder Vote – Unweighted – All Electoral Areas

Memorandum:

This item was deferred at the August 21, 2020 Board Meeting. Please see updated planning comments on the attached information package.

Attachments:

Information Package

Financial Implications:

N/A

Policy Implications:

N/A

Alignment with Strategic Plan:

- ☐ **Communication:** Facilitate communication strategies throughout the entire Cariboo Regional District that meet the needs of residents, community stakeholders, and other levels of government.
- ☒ **Planning:** Intentionally plan services and activities of the CRD to prepare for future needs of residents and community stakeholders.

- ☐ **Economic Sustainability:** Foster an environment to ensure the economic sustainability of CRD communities and the region.
- ☐ **Governance:** Ensure that CRD governance policy and practices are intentional, transparent, and respectful.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

CAO Comments:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Options:

1. Endorse recommendation;
2. Deny;
3. Defer.

Recommendation:

That the application for a Development Variance Permit pertaining to Lot C, District Lot 76, Lillooet District, Plan 36278 be approved to vary Section 5.12.3.1 (b) (i) of the South Cariboo Area Zoning Bylaw 3501, 1999 as follows:

That the minimum required front yard setback be reduced from 7.6 m (24.93 ft) to 5.18 m (17 ft) to allow the addition of a carport and a new detached garage.

Further, that the issuance is subject to the registration of a restrictive covenant on property title limiting vegetative cutting within 3 metres (9.84 feet) of the front property line.

Further, that the cost of preparation and registration of the covenant be borne by the applicants.