

City, Municipality, Village et al

Address

Date

TO: Mayor, CAO, CEO, Councillors et al

FROM: The men and women living therein,

The enclosed documentation:

1) Proof of Incorporation of the following entities; from Dunn & Bradstreet or EDGAR Search U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Government of Canada, EDGAR (CIK 0000230098) ; Government of British Columbia, EDGAR (CIK 0000836136) and (CIK 0000014306) ; Government of Alberta, EDGAR (CIK 0000810961) ; Government of Saskatchewan, EDGAR (CIK 0000203098) ; Government of Manitoba, EDGAR (CIK 0000826926) ; Ontario, EDGAR (CIK 0000074615) ; Quebec, EDGAR (0000722803) ; Province of New Brunswick, EDGAR (CIK 0000862406) ; Province of Nova Scotia, EDGAR (CIK 0000842639)

2) Copy of ***the Clearfield Doctrine***; showing that corporations by ANY name DO NOT have the legal jurisdiction to *taxation or law enforcement et al*, without a consent to contract which is corporate policy when doing commerce.

*** I invite you to seek legal clarification and written proof to the contrary.**

This letter comes to you with the enclosed documents to ascertain the jurisdiction within our council, in which you all are holding office.

Depending on the Oath, Declaration, or Covenant you gave upon entering office, you may be operating under ***the "Color of Law,"*** in a ***De-Facto, Un-lawful*** and thus ***ultra vires*** standing. This holds you personally liable for anything you've signed on behalf of the people.

Cariboo Regional District
Suite D 180 N #rd. Avenue
Williams Lake B.C. V2G 2A4

February 19, 2024

To:

CAO, Murray Daly
Directors A to L and Municipal Directors

The enclosed documentation is sent without prejudice or malice towards you or the other area Directors in the Cariboo Regional District. A copy has been given to Mayor Surinder Rathor as it pertains to the Mayor/Council at the City of Williams Lake, and he sits at CRD meetings from time to time.

The information herein came through homeschooling, and may be as much a shock to you as it was to me. This package does not contain heresay nor opinions, it contains the facts of the matter.

You may not be aware that the positions you hold are *un-lawful and De-Facto*, thus you're operating at the CRD in the "Color of Law", in a state of *Ultra Vires*.

Every attempt has been made by myself and others living in the *Corporation of Canada, Corporation of BC et al*, and the various geographical jurisdictions we call our home, worldwide in fact, to prove ourselves wrong pertaining to this matter before you now.

I'm truly grateful for all the help that Kevin, CFO, gave me regarding assistance and clarification whilst continuing to homeschool my grandson in the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Hence, this revelation.

There are 3 jurisdictions that are possible while in office;

1) **Government Office or GO** / a *De-Jure, lawful, PUBLIC Institution* as a Public Official, trustee and servant of the people, having full lawful jurisdiction to taxation.

2) **Non-Governmental Office or NGO** / a *De-Facto, unlawful* and "Private Corporate" office, providing Service Contracts as a "Body Corporate" to "Incorporated Inhabitants," without their knowledge or CONSENT to CONTRACT. Your Executive Control and Authority coming from the *Corporation of BC* in downloads of Corporate Policies, with NO lawful jurisdiction to taxation.

NOTE: There are 3 levels of Government; Local, Provincial, and Federal.

- each has their sphere of jurisdiction and geographical area
- each has independent legislative, fiduciary, and judicial powers
- **NO** level can legislate for the other nor operate beyond its purview

3) **Public/Private/Partnerships or PPP** / a *De-facto, unlawful, and are International* entities. In this jurisdiction there's also NO legal authority to taxation, thus making the CRD and its Directors personally liable for the FRAUD (in this case), committed against the people without consent or contract. (The *BC Assessment Authority* is a *CROWN Corp.* formed by the *Government of British Columbia Inc.* in 1974, "in order to earn profit for the *Government of British Columbia Inc.*")

Documents included herein, show where the CRD states:

Budget and Business Plans, Budget Process;

#4 Public Input, "The plan is revised based on PUBLIC INPUT and BOARD priorities until a final version is approved."

Further stating in the paragraph below, In the Financial Plan Bylaw, "**we set the property tax revenue**" we need for each service. "**This forms the basis for your taxation rates for the year.**"

The CRD during their January 12, 2024 Meeting

(Posted on Thursday, January 18, 2024) under the heading;

Development Procedures and fees updated to reflect new laws therein states, "The main change was removing public hearing requirements where they are prohibited under the NEW LAW. Whose law? The Corporations law? In this case CONTRACTS must be made by the people to CONSENT.

NOTE: The *Clearfield Doctrine* makes it clear that a corporation by ANY name is a corporation, NOT a **De-Jure, Lawful** Government that has the authority to taxation. This is what's putting YOU, the men and women signing any documents that are out of your jurisdiction , financially liable. A corporation is but a piece of paper that can make Acts, Statutes, Bylaws et al for WITHIN the corporation NOT to those who haven't consented with a contract. Nor is it capable of creating income without providing a contractual service. The corporations of *Canada, Revenue Canada, BC, Alberta, CRD, Williams Lake, et al* have NO legal authority or jurisdiction to taxation, any more than WALMART would.

This is the reason for my letter. You are members of my community, neighbours and friends to those men and women who voted for you to serve them in a PUBLIC Office. Perhaps you were unaware what the Oath, Declaration, or Covenant truly meant when you gave it, upon taking your positions. Perhaps the documents put before you to vote upon, that took years to prepare, were given to you with days to peruse, giving you little to no time to consider the consequences of your decision. Such as the definitions of the words, from common language known to all, to those used in the legal realm, such as "person, citizen, or constituent et al."

We the people, are grateful that you chose your positions, to serve the communities in which you call your home too. All I'm asking is for you to comprehend the situation you may be in and the personal financial repercussions of that position, through your signatures.

I encourage you to seek legal advise, after all it's legal firms and lawyers who have written the Acts, Statutes, Legislation, and Bylaws et al. Ask them to put it in writing should they say otherwise to the information contained herein, and prove me wrong. I doubt they will...for I am not wrong. Sadly.

I have more documented proof of what I am saying and would like to offer you my time to speak candidly and openly on this matter at your earliest convenience. Be rest assured that the men and women in your communities will support you in regaining your lawful office, nor will we allow you to be coerced, threatened, or bullied in any way to remain in an unlawful jurisdiction against your will. We are your friends and neighbours and care about you.

FRAUD vitiates everything. Be of good courage if the above proves true, and step into your lawful De-Jure jurisdiction.

Sincerely and respectfully,

Darcy Martens

PRESENTATION

EXPOSING the FRAUD

This package is intended to help us discover WHY we got where we are today, **FRAUD** and how to remedy the situation for our children's future.

First we must try to comprehend the depth and the length of time involved ; the "GAME" we're in.

Therefore, let's start at the beginning ; Citizen 'ship' for some, and at "birth" for others. Maritime words are they not?

A MAXIM in Law, states the following:

- He who "CREATES" owns and Ignorance of the Law is no excuse

When we were "**BORN**" or "**ARRIVED**" in the CORPORATION of CANADA, we were converted from "living" men and women to "entities" through certificates, licenses, and passports, and words like person, individual, citizen, resident et al. When you look at any of the documents you have, you will notice that it's written in all capital letters, which represents a **Corporate Fiction or FRAUD**.

In Law this means; **CAPITIS DIMINUTIO**, defined as; (diminished capacity) and is used in ROMAN LAW, yes, Rome still exists! It refers to a man or woman's former status as a living being, and reduces us, depending on how the identification is written. Each form of writing represents a new CONTRACT, and is needed in order to do COMMERCE. Put bluntly, we are reduced from "living" to a "**Dead entity or SLAVE**" thus losing our **LIBERTY. FRAUD**

I doubt our mother's were given full disclosure of this fact when she signed for our "**BIRTH CERTIFICATE**" or when you recieved your "**CITIZENship**," the 1st instance of **FRAUD** put upon us all.

In this presentation you will be given the ways we've been decieved, the rules of their game, because they've only given us some of the rules, and how to use these rules to regain our rightful status as living men and women. With this

TRUTH we will be able to Lawfully, and Peacefully, restore our good names and regain the **DOMINION** over the lands to which the CREATOR gave us. So... Let's get started on this journey together.

A wise man once said "*He who knows not, that he knows not, is asleep...WAKE HIM ; He who knows, that he knows not, is teachable...TEACH HIM ; He who knows, and knows, that he knows is wise...FOLLOW HIM.*" We will do just that, for many teachers have made this presentation possible.

Let's begin with **HOW** the pirates deceived our ancestors, through greed and malice.

"Where to Now Canada?"

This document tells us how the CORPORATION of CANADA set its roots upon our great land, and how our leaders betrayed our trust, through lies, coercion, and greed to keep us as slaves, pilage the resources, impoverish the peoples and ultimately...attempt to reduce us "USELESS EATERS". A term used at the WEF/World Economic Forum by Yuval Noah Harari, The Israeli Historian and darling of DAVOS.

"Canadian Parliamentary System"

This tells us in their own words that we are a CORPORATION whereby the **CROWN**, through **FRAUD** sits within the *People's House*. It shows us in their own definitions that the CORPORATION of CANADA is defined as the **WATERS**, the jurisdiction of pirates doing commerce on the seas. NO where, does it say the "*Land,*" of *WE the PEOPLE*. Pirates have no jurisdiction on the *Land*, so they had to convert the status of the people.

Proof of this can be found at Dun & Bradstreet, although recently removed through New **CENSORship** Laws. Thankfully they've been copied before and thus in poor quality. The Government of Canada, Government of British Columbia, and Revenue Canada are included. Regional Districts are corporations too. Their document shows that *Public Input* has all but been removed, as the **United Nations** takes over our towns, provinces and country as a whole. Further proof

can be found when doing an EDGAR Search at the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, just type in Province/Territory of _____ and click on any of the many reports therein.

"Clearfield Doctrine"

This is a Supreme Court Ruling that states; **"Governments have Descended to the level of mere Private Corporations"** What does this mean for *We the People?*

It tells us that a Corporation **"ACTing"** as a Government, has no jurisdiction to **TAX** the *People* of the land, only those that have contracted and work for their corporation! In essence, it would be like CANADIAN TIRE taxing you, therefore, **unlawful, illegal, and done in FRAUD!** There is no statute of limitation on **FRAUD.**

In Law, **FRAUD** means; A knowing misrepresentation or knowing concealment of a material fact made to induce another to act in his or her detriment * esp. when the conduct is willful, it may be a CRIME. Black's Law 11th Edition, states 2 interesting Latin phrases;

1) *Pirata est hostis humani generis*, A pirate is an enemy of the Human race. (It doesn't say anything about religious belief, color, sexuality, nationality et al... **"The HUMAN RACE!"** We'd best stick together then!)

2) *Praetextu legis injusta agens duplo puniendus*, He who under the cloak of the Law acts unjustly, should bear a double punishment. (Woe unto you lawyers and judges, who under your cloaks have deceived with your OATHS and PRESUMPTIONS, in your Private BAR GUILD. Who wrote the rules of deceit for the game, given to the CORPORATION of CANADA, and the CORPORATIONS of the Provinces and Territories. There's a day coming for *We the People's* justice upon you)

NOW we KNOW!

The **FRAUD** continues with the **BC Assessment Authority**, a **CORPORATION**

created by the CORPORATION of BRITISH COLUMBIA, whose sole purpose is to "Earn Profit," for the CORPORATION. 2024 being their 50th Anniversary. Coincidentally, it was 50 years ago in 1974 when Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau sold *We the People's* bank to Foreign Bankers, confirming the validity of the **Clearfield Doctrine**, and plunging our great nation into unfathomable debt, borne on our shoulders, their "Human Resources." Boasting about how profitable it was. **EXTORTING** a record 1.04 Trillion in 2010, that was 14 years ago now! That would have given 6 million people in British Columbia 173K for every man woman and child. The theft is OFF the CHARTS!

Be heartened to know we now know...Consider this fact my dear brothers and sisters, "It's the CORPORATIONS debt, NOT OURS!"

Even the Cariboo Regional District wants in on the **FRAUD** stating ;

"We set the Property Tax Revenue" On their January 12, 2024 meeting, an Agenda item titled **Development Procedures and Fees Updated to Reflect the NEW LAWS**; wherein the main change was REMOVING *Public hearing requirements* where they are prohibited under the **"NEW LAWS."**

WILLIAMS LAKE is a **United Nations** "Field Office" under **GLOBAL** Authority, *De-Facto, in an Unlawful jurisdiction* when it signed us on to their **GLOBAL "15 Minute City" Initiative**, taking them out of their *PUBLIC*,

De-Jure, Lawful jurisdiction through their **Oath, Affirmation, Declaration, or Covenants**, (We don't know what they've signed)

They can't sit on 3 chairs;

Public, Private, or Public/Private/Partnerships (PPP)

WHERE do they sit? *We'd best find out! (in presentation 2)*

The CORPORATION of BRITISH COLUMBIA, whose head office is in Hong Kong has created a NEW **EMERGENCY and DISASTER MANAGEMENT ACT**

Documents from our neighbours in the south, the Thompson Nicola Regional District, outline grave concerns for where "Funding and Enforcement" is to come from. Their letters to the Corporation's un-Elected CEO Premier Eby states these

facts clearly, What about our CRD? I couldn't find any documented concerns. Included is a *FACTSHEET; Definitions of Emergency Management*, wherein there are some troubling definitions.

WHY DON'T WE JUST TAKE THEM TO COURT?

The *People's Courts* incorporated in 2008 and became the Corporate Courts no longer serving the *People*.

Littlechild M.P vs Citizens of Canada 1990

This case proves that our MP's do NOT have to account to their constituents, their OATH is to the CORPORATIONS, and "**They must be given a right to carry out their duties without worry about being called to account during their term of office.**" THEY belong to the CORPORATION and we VOTE for them to Re-present us because we have "reduced capacity" through our names.

The 12 Presumptions of Court

Unless openly rebuked and rejected, their Law speaks for us.
(NO MORE! We reject their Laws for they are not OURS!)

10 Points of contract Law

We have been contracted after all, **FRAUDULENTLY**

These 10 points show us WHO has the Lawful and Legal rights in their system.
SO...let's exercise those rights!!!

Where to now Canada?

By Michael Benyacar

In my thoughts of where Canada's best future lies, one must learn how we got to where we are today, in order to see where we need to go next. Else, we would repeat the mistakes of missing the opportunities we had in the past. So here is a quick synopsis of the illusion of Canada and the remedy that needs to be enacted.

Event: The year, 1901. With Queen Victoria's death, the repeal of Section 2 of the BNA Act came into force, deliberately leaving the Dominion of Canada without a Monarch. To this day the BNA Act repeal of Section 2 has never been re-enacted and the only Monarch it applies to is Queen Victoria.

Event: The year, 1931. British Parliament passed the 'Statute of Westminster' allowing their Dominions to act independently. This would allow the said Dominions to federate and create their own constitutions.

Ireland, New Zealand, South Africa, as well as other Nations from the Commonwealth. – **Canada did not!**

Why didn't Canada federate? Simply follow the money.

Follow the money... to learn how certain forces active even today, were determined not to surrender their cherished positions of power. Continuing by way of deception, these forces kept alive the illusion of 'Canadian Confederation' and 'Sovereignty'. This deliberate illusion continued throughout various iterations of the BNA Act, later called the "Constitution Acts" of 1940, 43, 49, 60, 65, 74, 75 and finally, 1982.

Event: The year, 1946. King George VI appointed a Governor General to Canada. In 1947, he commanded the Parliament of Canada to create a commission to write Letters Patent for his Governor General, he then commanded Prime Minister Mackenzie King to sign the new "Letters Patent" on his behalf. The letters patent reference the BNA act, 1867 "Letters Patent" enabling the GG to give Royal assent to the Income Tax act 1948.

The Governor General sits in Ottawa and his Lieutenant Governors sit in each of the provinces – to report not to you the people, but to the "Queen in Right of Canada". Today some statutes created by the various provincial governments or the Federal government receive royal assent, the positions of Lt. Gov., GG and Queen of Canada are simply fictional figureheads with NO standing in law.

Event: 1952 the Royal Styles and Titles act is created by the Parliament of Canada for the Queen of Canada, yes that's correct the Queen of Canada proclaims through this act, to be the Queen of Canada, not joking.

This should clear up any wonder over why at the inauguration ceremony of 2019, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and all elected members swore allegiance – hand-upon-Bible – to the Queen of Canada and not to the People of Canada. You may now have realized why.

But wait, how does this work... according to the Statutes Law Revisions Act of 1893, Section 2 of the BNA act, 1867 was repealed, so what Queen?

Event: The year, 1982. To prevent people from discovering the illusion, Prime Minister Pierre Elliot Trudeau and his Cabinet created the 'Canada Bill', delivering directly to the self-styled 'Queen of Canada', Britain's Queen Elizabeth, for her delivery of it to the British Parliament to thereby pass it as the "Canada Act", 1982.

Trudeau would "patriate" the defunct BNA act 1867, to Canada – a *photocopy*, the original still residing in the UK Parliamentary Archives.

Upon returning, Trudeau convinced all provinces to ratify the deception of the new 'Constitution'. This was of the utmost of importance, without the provinces ratifying this Act of a foreign parliament it could not become Supreme Law (Law of the Sea) here on the landmass commonly known as Canada. All the provinces with the exception of Quebec signed off. Their problem, the Constitution Act was NOT ratified and has NO standing here on the landmass commonly known as Canada.

The outcome of this adventure saw Trudeau retiring from politics, leaving his mess behind to be sorted out by future politicians.

To ensure the deception was laid to rest, the "Government of Canada" knew they needed to amend 'The Constitution Act, 1982'. There was the Meech Lake Accord of 1987, followed five years later by the Charlottetown Accord. These accords failed and the "Government of Canada" did not get what it wanted.

As both Accords failed, the federal authority in 1995 let sleeping dogs lie, pretending everything was as it should be. *After all, the people of Canada had yet to figure it out.*

Today, Canada is not a lawfully established Sovereign Nation.

In the past, the combination of confusion and the oddness of the opportunity to form our self-governed nation led to what happened next — nothing. Let's not do that anymore.

To all the *politicians, government employees, armed forces personnel, and the many wonderful people* that make up Canada, we must without delay RESOLVE to implement the very best option.

There has never been a better time for all Canadians to unite, and finally enact their own home-grown government. Now in the 21st century let's take control of our own destiny.

Canada is free and freedom is its nationality. - Sir Wilfred Laurier



ProceduralInfo



Our Procedure

Canadian Parliamentary System

Introduction

Canada is a constitutional monarchy and a parliamentary democracy, founded on the rule of law and respect for rights and freedoms. The government acts in the name of the Crown but derives its authority from the Canadian people.

Canada's parliamentary system stems from the British, or "Westminster", tradition. Parliament consists of the Crown, the Senate, and the House of Commons, and laws are enacted once they are agreed to by all three parts. Since Canada is a federal state, responsibility for lawmaking is shared among one federal, ten provincial and three territorial governments. The judiciary is responsible for the interpretation and application of the law and the Constitution and for giving impartial judgments.

The Canadian Constitution

Canada's Constitution sets forth the system of fundamental laws and principles that outline the nature, functions, and limits of Canada's system of government, both federal and provincial. It prescribes which powers—legislative, executive and judicial—may be exercised by which level of government, and it sets limits on those powers. It also lays out the powers and authorities of the office of the Governor General, as well as those of the Senate and the House of Commons.

The Constitution involves more than a single document. The Constitution Act, 1867 brought Canada into being with a constitution similar in principle to that of the United Kingdom. The Constitution Act, 1982 contains the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the procedure for amending the Constitution. Some of Canada's most important rules are not matters of law but are conventions or practices.

The Crown

Head of State represented in Canada by the Governor General

Executive Branch

Government

Prime Minister and Cabinet

Legislative Branch

(Parliament)

Senate

Upper Chamber of 105 Senators appointed by the Governor General to represent regions of the country

House of Commons

Lower Chamber of 338 members elected to represent the people from their electoral district

The Crown and the Governor General

In Canada, executive authority is formally vested in the Crown (the Sovereign), and it is exercised in its name by the Governor General, acting on the advice of the Prime Minister and the cabinet. The Constitution reserves certain prerogatives of government for the Crown, including the powers to:

- give royal recommendation to bills that propose to spend government revenues;
- give royal assent to bill passed by the Senate and the House of Commons so that they become law;
- appoint holders of many important offices (e.g., judicial and diplomatic);
- dissolve Parliament before elections, and to open and close parliamentary sessions (at the beginning of each parliamentary session, the Governor General reads the **Speech from the Throne**, prepared by the Prime Minister, outlining the Government's objectives for the upcoming session); and

(b) a reference to any other offence shall be construed as a reference to an offence for which the offender is punishable on summary conviction.
R.S., c. I-23, s. 27.

Powers to Enter Dwelling-houses to Carry out Arrests

Authorization to enter dwelling-house

34.1 Any person who may issue a warrant to arrest or apprehend a person under any Act of Parliament, other than the *Criminal Code*, has the same powers, subject to the same terms and conditions, as a judge or justice has under the *Criminal Code*

(a) to authorize the entry into a dwelling-house described in the warrant for the purpose of arresting or apprehending the person, if the person issuing the warrant is satisfied by information on oath that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the person is or will be present in the dwelling-house; and

(b) to authorize the entry into the dwelling-house without prior announcement if the requirement of subsection 529.4(1) of the *Criminal Code* is met.

1997, c. 39, s. 4.

Definitions

General definitions

35 (1) In every enactment,

Act, in respect of an Act of a legislature, includes a law of the Legislature of Yukon, of the Northwest Territories or for Nunavut; (*loi provinciale*)

bank means a bank listed in Schedule I or II to the *Bank Act*; (*banque*)

British Commonwealth or **British Commonwealth of Nations** has the same meaning as *Commonwealth*; (*Commonwealth, Commonwealth britannique, Commonwealth des nations* ou *Commonwealth des nations britanniques*)

broadcasting means any radiocommunication in which the transmissions are intended for direct reception by the general public; (*radiodiffusion*)

Canada, for greater certainty, includes the internal waters of Canada and the territorial sea of Canada; (*Canada*)

Canadian waters includes the territorial sea of Canada and the internal waters of Canada; (*eaux canadiennes*)

Clerk of the Privy Council or **Clerk of the Queen's Privy Council** means the Clerk of the Privy Council and Secretary to the Cabinet; (*greffier du Conseil privé* ou *greffier du Conseil privé de la Reine*)

commencement, when used with reference to an enactment, means the time at which the enactment comes into force; (*Version anglaise seulement*)

Commonwealth or **Commonwealth of Nations** means the association of countries named in the schedule; (*Commonwealth, Commonwealth britannique, Commonwealth des nations* ou *Commonwealth des nations britanniques*)

Commonwealth and Dependent Territories means the several Commonwealth countries and their colonies, possessions, dependencies, protectorates, protected states, condominiums and trust territories; (*Commonwealth et dépendances*)

contiguous zone,

(a) in relation to Canada, means the contiguous zone of Canada as determined under the *Oceans Act*, and

(b) in relation to any other state, means the contiguous zone of the other state as determined in accordance with international law and the domestic laws of that other state; (*zone contiguë*)

continental shelf,

(a) in relation to Canada, means the continental shelf of Canada as determined under the *Oceans Act*, and

(b) in relation to any other state, means the continental shelf of the other state as determined in accordance with international law and the domestic laws of that other state; (*plateau continental*)

contravene includes fail to comply with; (*contravention*)

corporation does not include a partnership that is considered to be a separate legal entity under provincial law; (*personne morale*)

county includes two or more counties united for purposes to which the enactment relates; (*comté*)

county court [Repealed, 1990, c. 17, s. 26]

diplomatic or consular officer includes an ambassador, envoy, minister, chargé d'affaires, counsellor, secretary, attaché, consul-general, consul, vice-consul, pro-consul, consular agent, acting consul-general, acting consul, acting vice-consul, acting consular agent, high



Dun & Bradstreet

The **Dun & Bradstreet Corporation** is an American company that provides commercial data, analytics, and insights for businesses.^[3] Headquartered in Jacksonville, Florida, the company offers a wide range of products and services for risk and financial analysis, operations and supply, and sales and marketing professionals, as well as research and insights on global business issues. It serves customers in government and industries such as communications, technology, strategic financial services, and retail, telecommunications, and manufacturing markets.^[4] Often referred to as **D&B**, the company's database contains over 500 million business records worldwide.^[5]

History

1800s

Dun & Bradstreet traces its history to July 20, 1841, with formation of The Mercantile Agency in New York City by Lewis Tappan. Recognizing the need for a centralized credit reporting system, Tappan formed the company to create a network of correspondents who would provide reliable, objective credit information to subscribers.^[6] As an advocate for civil rights, Tappan used his abolitionist connections to expand and update the company's credit information.^[7]

Despite accusations of personal privacy invasion, by 1844 the Mercantile Agency had over 280 clients. The agency continued to expand, opening offices in Boston, Philadelphia, and Baltimore. By 1849, Tappan retired, allowing Benjamin Douglass to take over the booming business.^[8]

Dun & Bradstreet Holdings, Inc.



Company type	Public
Traded as	NYSE: <u>DNB</u> (https://www.nyse.com/quote/XNYS:DNB) Russell 1000 component
Industry	Commercial & professional services
Founded	July 20, 1841 (as The Mercantile Agency) March 1933 (as R.G. Dun-Bradstreet)
Founders	Lewis Tappan Robert Graham Dun John M. Bradstreet
Headquarters	<u>Jacksonville, Florida, U.S.</u> ^[1]
Key people	Anthony Jabbour (CEO) Bryan Hipsher (CFO)
Products	<u>Business information</u> <u>Credit & risk</u> <u>Sales & marketing</u> <u>Data analytics</u> <u>Supply & compliance</u>
Revenue	▲ <u>US\$2.16 billion</u> (2021)
Operating income	▲ <u>US\$145.6 million</u> (2021)
Net income	▲ <u>US\$-71.7 million</u> (2021)
Total assets	▲ <u>US\$9.99 billion</u> (2021)
Total equity	▲ <u>US\$3.68 billion</u> (2021)
Number of employees	6,296 (December 2021)
Website	www.dnb.com (http://www.dnb.com/)

Government of British Columbia Canada

Overview

Company Description:

Industry: Executive, Legislative, and Other General Government Support , Public Administration , General government, nec

See other industries within the Public Administration sector: Administration of Economic Programs , Administration of Environmental Quality Programs , Administration of Housing Programs, Urban Planning, and Community Development , Administration of Human Resource Programs , Justice, Public Order, and Safety Activities , National Security and International Affairs , Space Research and Technology

Popular Search:

Executive, Legislative, and Other General Government Support

Public Administration

Address: Rm 901 9/F Harcourt House 10 Harcourt Road Wan Chai Hong Kong

Phone:

[Privacy - Terms](#)

Employees (this site): ⓘ Modelled

Employees (all sites): ⓘ Modelled

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 18-K

For Foreign Governments and Political Subdivisions Thereof

ANNUAL REPORT

of

CANADA

(Name of Registrant)

Date of end of last fiscal year: March 31, 2023

SECURITIES REGISTERED*
(As of the close of the fiscal year)

Time of Issue	Amounts as to which registration is effective	Name of exchanges on which registered
N/A	N/A	N/A

Name and address of person authorized to receive notices and communications from the Securities and Exchange Commission:

PAUL HUYNH
Counsellor (Finance)
Canadian Embassy
501 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Copies to:

JAMES WU
Director General
Funds Management Division
Department of Finance, Canada
13th Floor, 90 Elgin Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G5

MARK WALSH
Consul
Consulate General of Canada
466 Lexington Avenue
20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

PAUL E. DENARO
Milbank LLP
55 Hudson Yards
New York, NY 10001

* The Registrant is filing this annual report on a voluntary basis.

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 18-K

For Foreign Governments and Political Subdivisions Thereof

ANNUAL REPORT

of

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

(Canada)

(Name of Registrant)

Date of end of last fiscal year: March 31, 2023

SECURITIES REGISTERED*

(As of the close of the fiscal year)

Title of Issue	Amounts as to Which Registration is Effective	Names of Exchanges on Which Registered
N/A	N/A	N/A

Names and addresses of persons authorized to receive notices and communications from the Securities and Exchange Commission:

Tom Clark
Consul General of Canada
466 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Copies to

Jason Lehner
Shearman & Sterling LLP
199 Bay Street
Commerce Court West
Suite 4405, P.O. Box 247
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M5L 1E8

Ministry of Finance
Provincial Treasury
Debt Management Branch
620 Superior Street
P.O. Box 9423, Stn Prov Govt
Victoria, British Columbia
Canada V8W 9V1

* The Registrant is filing this annual report on a voluntary basis



BC Assessment Authority

The **British Columbia Assessment Authority** is a publicly owned Crown Corporation in the Province of British Columbia, Canada. BC Assessment was created in 1974, as a result of a provincial government all-party committee unanimous recommendation that an independent assessment agency be created.

Its mandate is to provide property assessment values for property owners in the province. This information is primarily used in the calculation of property tax payable to the provincial government or relevant municipality in British Columbia. In 2009, some \$6 billion (CAD) was raised in B.C. from property tax levies. In 2009, the total value of assessments in the province were \$969 billion. By 2010, that number had grown to \$1.04 trillion. Most assessments are of the market value of the real property.

In 2009 (for the 2010 roll), assessment appeals remained low, compared to many other property-taxing provinces and states. Some 98.5% of assessments were accepted without appeal.

BC Assessment has approximately 650 full-time staff positions in 17 offices throughout the province. B.C. Assessment's annual budget is just over \$77 million.

The British Columbia Assessment Authority



BC ASSESSMENT

Company type	<u>Crown Corporation</u>
Founded	1974
Area served	<u>British Columbia</u>
Website	<u>www.bcassessment.ca</u> (https://www.bcassessment.ca/)

External links

- Official website (<http://bcassessment.ca>)
- British Columbia Municipalities List (<http://www.civicinfo.bc.ca/officials.pdf>)



BC Assessment Authority

The **British Columbia Assessment Authority** is a publicly owned Crown Corporation in the Province of British Columbia, Canada. BC Assessment was created in 1974, as a result of a provincial government all-party committee unanimous recommendation that an independent assessment agency be created.

Its mandate is to provide property assessment values for property owners in the province. This information is primarily used in the calculation of property tax payable to the provincial government and relevant municipality in British Columbia. In 2009, some \$6.5 billion (CAD) was raised in B.C. from property tax levies. In 2009, the total value of assessments in the province were \$969 billion. By 2010, the number had grown to \$1.04 trillion. Most assessments are based on the market value of the real property.

In 2009 (for the 2010 roll), assessment appeals remain low compared to many other property-taxing provinces and state 98.5% of assessments were accepted without appeal.

BC Assessment has approximately 650 full-time staff positions in offices throughout the province. B.C. Assessment's annual budget is just over \$77 million.

External links

- Official website (<http://bcassessment.ca>)
- British Columbia Municipalities List (<http://www.civicinfo.bc.ca>)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=BC_Assessment_Authority"

The British Columbia Assessment Authority



A **state-owned enterprise (SOE)** is a government entity which is established or nationalised by a national or provincial government, by an executive order or an act of legislation, in order to earn profit for the government, control monopoly of the private sector entities, provide products and services to citizens at a lower price, implement government policies, and/or to deliver products & services to the remote locations of the country. The national government or provincial government has majority ownership over these state owned enterprises. These state owned enterprises are also known as **public sector undertakings** in some countries. Defining characteristics of SOEs are their distinct legal form and possession of financial goals and developmental objectives, SOEs are government entities established to pursue financial objectives and developmental goals.



THOMPSON-NICOLA
REGIONAL DISTRICT
The Region of BC's Best

300-465 Victoria Street
Kamloops, BC V2C 2A9
Tel: 250-377-8673
Toll Free in BC: 1-877-377-8673
Email: admin@tnrd.ca

Department: Board of Directors

December 6, 2023

Premier David Eby
Via email: premier@gov.bc.ca

The Honourable Bowinn Ma
Minister of Emergency Management and Climate Readiness
Via email: EMCR.Minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Premier Eby and Minister Ma;

Subject: Update on the Proposed Emergency and Disaster Management Act

Please accept this letter as notification that at the November 9, 2023, Regular Board Meeting the Board of Directors adopted the following resolution:

THAT, the Regional Board send a letter to the Minister of Emergency Management and Climate Readiness and the Premier of British Columbia, requesting more time to comment on the new Emergency and Disaster Management Act and request that they supply the funding required for the capacity and resourcing required to complete this work, and that the letter be copied to all 27 Regional Districts.

Regional district structure and legislated authorities differ significantly from municipalities. Geographic size, population density, taxation base, employee capacity, and logistics related to collaboration with multiple neighbouring municipalities, and Indigenous governing bodies are just some of the factors that must be reviewed, considered and quantified before commenting on the proposed changes. As noted in the above resolution the TNRD Board of Directors respectfully requests more time to review the proposed changes as well as the provision of funding support to ensure adequate capacity to provide a thoughtful and comprehensive response.

Yours truly,



Barbara Roden, Chair
Thompson-Nicola Regional District

pc: All Regional Districts in BC

MUNICIPALITIES: Ashcroft | Barriere | Cache Creek | Chase | Clearwater | Clinton
Kamloops | Logan Lake | Lytton | Merritt | Sun Peaks
LECTORAL AREAS: "A" "B" "E" "I" "J" "L" "M" "N" "O" "P"





THOMPSON-NICOLA
REGIONAL DISTRICT
The Region of BC's Best

300-465 Victoria Street
Kamloops, BC V2C 2A9

Tel: 250-377-8673

Toll Free in BC: 1-877-377-8673

Email: admin@tnrd.ca

Department: Board of Directors

December 29, 2023

Premier David Eby

Via email: premier@gov.bc.ca

The Honourable Bowinn Ma

Minister of Emergency Management and Climate Readiness

Via email: EMCR.Minister@gov.bc.ca

The Honourable Anne Kang

Minister of Municipal Affairs

Via email: MUNI.Minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Premier Eby, Minister Ma and Minister Kang;

Subject: Emergency and Disaster Management Act

Further to a letter received from the Ministry of Emergency Management and Climate Readiness dated December 14, 2023 advising of an engagement period extension to January 31, 2024 for local authorities to provide input on some of the new regulations being developed for the newly adopted *Emergency and Disaster Management Act (EDMA)*, on behalf of the Thompson-Nicola Regional District Board of Directors I would like to take this opportunity to write to you directly regarding our concerns related to the implementation of one overarching local authority regulation under EDMA to serve both municipalities and regional districts.

Many of the proposed changes in the EDMA are tailored to municipalities and do not take into account the immense geographic size and unique mandate of regional districts. Noted below are a few examples of our concerns:

- While municipalities have the flexibility and leeway to spend money for the development of mitigation infrastructure such as dikes, regional districts must work through the public assent process to establish a service to fund the infrastructure. Furthermore, while municipalities would have land tenure over their own infrastructure, regional districts would most likely have to work through Crown lease processes to secure required tenure.
- Proposed changes define new "emergencies" including terrorism, rioting and security threats". As regional districts do not have direct control over law enforcement, our role in these newly defined situations is unclear.
- The new EMDA will require local governments to consult, collaborate, and coordinate with adjacent local governments as well as neighbouring Indigenous governing bodies in all four phases of emergency management. While the TNRD supports the intent and upward trajectory of these new requirements, given the TNRD's geographic boundaries, this will require consultation with a significant amount of governing bodies far and above the 25 First Nations with reserve land within TNRD boundaries.

MUNICIPALITIES: Ashcroft | Barriere | Cache Creek | Chase | Clearwater | Clinton
Kamloops | Logan Lake | Lytton | Merritt | Sun Peaks

ELECTORAL AREAS: "A" "B" "E" "I" "J" "L" "M" "N" "O" "P"

December 29, 2023

Subject: Emergency and Disaster Management Act


Page 2 of 2

- Proposed changes call for a focus on intersectionality and supports for vulnerable populations, as well as animals (with the definition of animals now broadened to include pets). This is not a realistic expectation for regional districts to handle across a large geographic area. This again seems to be more municipally-oriented especially considering the TNRD does not engage in domestic pet licensing or undertake social support programs for vulnerable populations.
- Agriculture relocation is already a labour-intensive component for TNRD staff during emergencies and requires external consultants to liaise with the ranching communities and coordinate the claim process; adding further requirements for housing domestic animals will exacerbate an already complicated and onerous process.
- Regional districts represent rural and remote rural sparsely populated areas. The new requirements of EDMA will place a new and significant workload on local authorities, but regional districts will be particularly challenged. Funding proposed changes and required staffing increases will result in a negative if not unsustainable taxation impact for regional district residents.

The differences between the legislated authority of regional districts and municipalities was acknowledged by the Province in 2004 when many of the powers of municipalities were removed from the *Local Government Act* and incorporated into the *Community Charter*. At this time we respectfully request that the Province consider a similar approach through the creation of two local authority regulations under the *Emergency and Disaster Management Act*, one for regional districts and one for municipalities.

Staff from the TNRD will be providing a written submission with further details of our concerns regarding the new regulations to the modernizeEM@gov.bc.ca email account before the January 31, 2024 deadline

Yours truly,


Barbara Roden, Chair
Thompson-Nicola Regional District

pc: All Regional Districts in BC

Factsheet: Definition of Emergency Management

Definition of Emergency

The definition of "emergency" is one of the most critical definitions in the Emergency and Disaster Management Act as it establishes the foundation for when a state of emergency can be declared. In turn, this determines when emergency powers can be used. Both of the following two components of the definition must be met for an event to be an emergency under the Emergency and Disaster Management Act:

- 1) Potential cause(s)
- 2) Threshold for action

New language not used in the previous Emergency Program Act definition of "emergency" is indicated below.

Regulations can be made to prescribe incidents, events, or circumstances for the purposes of this definition; however, no regulations have been made for this purpose.

POTENTIAL CAUSES	THRESHOLD FOR ACTION
<p>An emergency means a state that is the result of any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• an event that has occurred, is ongoing or appears imminent that is caused by one of more of the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ accident○ fire○ explosion○ technical failure○ force of nature○ rioting - NEW○ security threat¹ - NEW○ terrorist activity² - NEW○ prescribed incident - NEW	<p>An emergency means a state that requires the prompt coordination of action, or the special regulation or persons or property, to protect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• health, safety, or well-being of persons, or• safety of property, or• safety of objects or sites of heritage value - NEW

¹ Under the Emergency and Disaster Management Act, "security threats" mean actions that severely impair the functioning of a government or society, including actions relating to overthrowing a government.

² The meaning of "terrorist activity" is found in [section 83.01 of the Criminal Code](#).

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • presence, suspected presence or imminent spread of a transmissible disease or environmental toxin - NEW • prescribed type of event or presence or suspected presence of prescribed circumstances - NEW 	
---	--

Examples of emergencies under this two-part definition

1. Interface fire

- Meets the “potential causes” component of the definition because “fire” is included in the list of potential causes.
- Can meet the “threshold for action” component of the definition because:
 - the prompt coordination of action may be required to protect the safety of property (e.g., by taking prompt firefighting actions to protect property, including land and structures); and
 - the special regulation of persons may be required to protect the health, safety, or well-being of persons (e.g., by evacuating them).

2. Flooding

- Meets the “potential causes” component of the definition because flooding is a “force of nature.”
- Can meet the “threshold for action” component of the definition because:
 - the prompt coordination of action may be required to protect the safety of property (e.g., by sandbagging or establishing other temporary water barriers to protect property, including land and structures);
 - the special regulation of persons may be required to protect the health, safety, or well-being of persons (e.g., by evacuating them).

More information

Online: gov.bc.ca/emergencymanagementact

Email: modernizeEM@gov.bc.ca

The information in this document is for your convenience and guidance and is not a replacement for the legislation.

Published: November 27, 2023



Budget and Business Plans

Budget Process

The Community Charter requires local governments to approve a five-year Financial Plan Bylaw each year by the end of March. The Financial Plan is also referred to as the Budget. Generally, the annual budget is prepared through the following process:

1. Each fall the CRD creates budgets for each of its services based on anticipated activities and priorities.
2. Finance compiles all these budgets to make a draft financial plan.
3. The draft financial plan is reviewed and approved by the CRD Board.
4. The plan is revised based on public input and Board priorities until a final version is approved.
5. The final version allows us to develop the Financial Plan Bylaw.
6. The Financial Plan Bylaw must be adopted no later than March 31.

In the Financial Plan Bylaw, we set the property tax revenue we need for each service. This forms the basis for your taxation rates for the year. The CRD Board may amend the financial plan by bylaw at any time during the five-year period.

Learn more about the relationship between property assessments and property taxes, or view this helpful video provided by BC Assessment.

Budget Feedback

As part of preparing the Financial Plan, we seek out resident and stakeholder feedback on the proposed levels of spending, taxation, and methods of financing for various initiatives and services. Our budget consultation seeks to obtain feedback on



Board Highlights - January 12, 2024

Posted on Thursday, January 18, 2024

CCRHD Chair & Vice Chair election

Director Al Richmond (Area G) and Director Mary Sjostrom (Area A) were re-elected by acclamation as Chair and Vice-Chair of the Cariboo-Chilcotin Regional Hospital District. CCRHD Chair Al Richmond expressed his thanks to the Board and spoke of the accomplishments of the prior year, and the continued advocacy work needed to address equity for rural communities and their contributions towards healthcare facility investment. Vice-Chair Mary Sjostrom also expressed her thanks, and spoke about the achievements of the CCRHD to successfully advocate for a lower contribution level and maintain reasonable taxation rates.

Development procedures and fees updated to reflect new laws

The CRD is updating its development procedures and fees bylaw to reflect recent changes to Provincial law for how local governments can plan for housing developments. The main change was removing public hearing requirements where they are prohibited under the new law. Notifications about these applications will still be provided, and interested individuals will still have an opportunity to submit written input prior to the Board considering the application. The Board and staff noted that a majority of rezoning applications in the CRD would still require a public hearing. Several housekeeping amendments were also approved to improve application processing times and clarify the intent of the bylaw.

Over \$3 million in Interior Health funding requests receive initial approval

The Board has provided initial approval to contribute \$3,045,740 to Interior Health (IHA) for project and equipment costs in Williams Lake and 100 Mile House. This contribution represents 40% of IHA's budget for new projects in the CCRHD, and will not impact taxation levels for CCRHD residents. The final approvals will be

J. WILTON LITTLECHILD, M.P. v. Citizens of Canada
Docket No. 9012000725

**IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA JUDICIAL
DISTRICT OF WETASKIWIN**

BETWEEN:

**ERIN WALL, PIETER BROER, IRENE LOVELL, JOE KURTA
JAMES MANN, KEITH BEEBE, LYLE LINK, and DALE HATALA
as and for the constituency of Wetaskiwin and the Citizens of
Canada**

PLAINTIFFS

- and -

**J. WILTON LITTLECHILD, M.P.
DEFENDANT**

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

Wetaskiwin, Alberta

10th December, A.D. 1990

**Proceedings taken in The Court of Queen's Bench, Law Courts,
Wetaskiwin, Alberta.**

10th December 1990

**The Honourable Mr. Justice, E.A. Marshall Justice of The Court
of Queen's Bench of Alberta**

E. Molstad, Esq. For the Defendant

E. Wall For the Plaintiffs

Official Court Record

THE COURT:

Thank you.

Well as you suggested and conceded Ms. Wall, it appears clear to me that the Statement of Claim must be struck out -- that legal proceedings are not the correct forum to seek the relief which has been sought.

Counsel for Mr. Littlechild have outlined the law.

The Statement of Claim alleges a failure on the part of Mr. Littlechild to consult with the constituency members and a failure on his part to account to them, further failing to ascertain their views in voting for the government's goods and services tax and failing to adequately represent their views in his voting for the government's goods and services tax.

It appears that the action is a claim of a **breach of duty** on the part of the M.P. of the Plaintiffs. It seems clear on the authorities and I note in Roman Corporation which has been cited, that if I have any doubt on this application, as to whether the Plaintiffs have a cause of action, I must give the benefit of that doubt to the Plaintiffs and refuse the application and leave the matter to be decided at a trial.

However, I am satisfied the **Plaintiffs have no cause of action** against the Defendant. **I know of no legal duty** on an elected representative at any level of government to consult with his constituents or determine their views. While such an obligation may generally be considered desirable, there is **no legal requirement**.

I adopt the quotation from the trial in the Roman Corporation case, where he said:

"It is of the essence of our parliament system of government that our elected representatives should be able to perform their duties courageously and resolutely in what they

consider to be the best interests of **(the Government of Canada (Inc.)), free from any worry of being called to account anywhere except in parliament.**

So, it appears to me that the only remedy existing for the Plaintiffs is the remedy provided by our Constitution in **the right to vote in a future election.**

I note also that the prayer for relief gives some difficulty. They request an Order of the Court recalling the Defendant to account to the Plaintiffs in his constituency for his actions in parliament. I would be inclined to strike the Statement of Claim on that paragraph as well. But I note they do make a prayer for such other relief as the Court shall deem just which probably is general enough that the action could not be struck out on that account alone.

So I am satisfied that **no court can compel the Defendant to account to his constituents** and just to show you what really occurs in this application, Ms. Wall, what I am really assuming for the moment is that everything you have said in the Statement of Claim is correct. Even if that is all true the Court can't give you assistance because in the drafting and the exercise in the use of our constitution through the decades, it has been the wisdom of our Fathers of Confederation and others that M.P.'s must be given a right to carry out their duties without any worry about being called to account during their term of office..

That is the way our constitution was drafted and I must take judicial notice of the Act which relates to Members of Parliament, the Parliament of Canada Act, that the members of the House of Commons enjoy all the privileges and immunities of Members of Parliament, Parliament of the United Kingdom.

So, under the circumstances **I am dismissing** - - or I am allowing the application to strike out the Statement of Claim and it will be struck out accordingly

Clearfield Doctrine

All courts were dissolved in 2008 under the clearfield doctrine then became registered companies on Dunn and Bradstreet company search. When governments enter the world of commerce, they are subject to the same burdens as any private firm or corporation U.S v Burr 309 U.S 22. See 22, U.S CA 286 e Bank of US v Planters Bank of Georgia 6L Ed (Wheat 244.) NOTE: Under the Clearfield Doctrine, the courts are no longer government entities in that they are *demanding private monies and must have a contract with you to compel performance*. They are no more special as a normal business than your local Jack In The Box. Clearfield Doctrine - "private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation". Government create and enforce CIVIL LAWS known as statutes, acts and legislation created by the Bar Association (set up by Rothschilds) which are duty bound to comply with the LAW of CONTRACTS. The Law of Contracts requires *signed written agreements and complete transparency!*

Governments Have Descended to the Level of Mere Private Corporations

Clearfield Doctrine Supreme Court Annotated Statute, *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States* 318 U.S. 363- 371 1942 Whereas defined pursuant to Supreme Court Annotated Statute: *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States* 318 U.S. 363-371 1942: "Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen . . . where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned . . . For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government." **What the Clearfield Doctrine is saying is that when private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation. As such, government then becomes bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations which means that if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon its corporate statutes or corporation rules, then the government, like any private corporation, must be the holder-in-due-course of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon who demands for specific performance are made. And further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes. This case is very important because it is a 1942 case**

Exhibit 8a

that was decided after the UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY filed its "CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION" in the State of Florida (July 15, 1925). And it was decided AFTER the 'corporate government' agreed to use the currency of the private corporation, the FEDERAL RESERVE. The private currency, the Federal Reserve Note, is still in use today. References: (i) Articles of Incorporation of UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY <http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2014/01/articles-of-incorporation-of-u-scorp-company.pdf> (ii) From The Great American Adventure by Judge Dale, retired. (pages 93-94) <http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/the-great-american-adventurecomplete-work-by-judge-dale.pdf> [4] Corporations are not and can never be SOVEREIGN. They are not real, they are a fiction and only exist on paper. 5] Therefore, all laws created by these government corporations are private corporate regulations called public law, statutes, codes and ordinances to conceal their true nature. Do the Judge and your lawyer know about this? You bet they do! 6] Since these government bodies are not SOVEREIGN, they cannot promulgate or enforce CRIMINAL LAWS; they can only create and enforce CIVIL LAWS, which are duty bound to comply with the LAW of CONTRACTS. The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency! Did you ever agree to be arrested and tried under any of their corporate statutes? For that matter, did you ever agree to contract with them by agreeing to be sued for violating their corporate regulations? [8] Enforcement of these corporate statutes by local, state and federal law enforcement officers are unlawful actions being committed against the SOVEREIGN public and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions. [Bond v. U.S., 529 US 334-2000] (iii) Our Government is Just Another Corporation <http://anticorruptionsociety.com/is-our-government-just-another-corporation/>

10 POINTS of CONTRACT LAW

POWER of CHOICE / "FREE WILL to CONTRACT"

1) More than 1 party is needed to contract

2) AGREEMENT = OFFER & ACCEPTANCE

- OFFER is the "presentment", therefore, without acceptance, there can be **NO** contract.

- ACCEPTANCE is taken as "**TACIT**" (**applied though not expressed**) if **NO** has not been verbally said.

* A presentment can be accepted as noted : "with conditional acceptance"

3) There **MUST** be an **INTENTION** to **CREATE** a "Legal Relationship",

ie: **AGREE to the consequences of breaking the contract**

4) LAWFUL "CONSIDERATION"

Both or all parties have to give something of value to be considered a contract

* **Consideration** has to be agreed to by **ALL** parties to be **LEGAL**

#1 POINT / Mortgages... Bank Accounts...Credit Cards

When you got your Mortgage...WHAT did the bank put up as "Lawful Consideration" **FULL DISCLOSURE** **MUST** be given to be **LAWFUL**

5) CAPACITY to contract requires that Full Disclosure be given and "understood/comprehended" in full to be Lawful...

NO minor, drunkard, lunatic, or other mental incapacity can be present to be a Lawful Contract

6) FREE CONSENT:**FRAUD**.....

NO force, trickery, undue influence, misrepresentation, coercion can take place. *
ANY mistake therein, **VOIDS** the contract between TRUSTEE/BENEFICIARY

7) LAWFUL OBJECT :

"anything" illegal or harmful to either party, made, or in part of the contract,
VOIDS the contract

8) CERTAINTY of MEANING or FULL DISCLOSURE:

*** Almost ALL contracts are **VOID** because the parties that signed have **NOT**,
with certainty, "understood or comprehended" the LEGAL meaning of the
"CONTRACTED WORDS", ie: person, individual, citizen, etc. and therefore, as
undefined = **FRAUD!**..... man, woman, is **WHO** you are, ALL other words or
terms used to contract are LEGAL terms for **FICTION!**

9) SIGNATURE and AUTOGRAPH :

Signature = FICTION / Corporate Identity, LGBTQS2+ et al

Autograph = "LIVING", man or woman...period!

*** if you as a man or woman sign a contract there is NO CONTRACT because...
there CANNOT be a contract wherein one side is "living" and the other is a
"fictional" identity

10) YOU must have CERTAINTY :

of the TERMS and PRIVACY to CONTRACT

BOTH have to APPROVE! **VOID** if broken and must be re-done.

(Example the BNA Act of 1867, the contract died when Queen Victoria died in
1901...similar to a Power of Attorney document, VOID when there's the death.
Queen Victoria took OUT her Heirs)

THE TWELVE PRESUMPTIONS OF COURT

Canon 3228

A Roman Court does not operate according to any true rule of law, but by presumptions of the law. Therefore, if presumptions presented by the private Bar Guild are not rebutted they become fact and are therefore said to stand true [Or as "truth in commerce"]. There are twelve (12) key presumptions asserted by the private Bar Guilds which if unchallenged stand true being Public Record, Public Service, Public Oath, Immunity, Summons, Custody, Court of Guardians, Court of Trustees, Government as Executor/Beneficiary, Executor De Son Tort, Incompetence, and Guilt:

1. The Presumption of Public Record is that any matter brought before a lower Roman Courts is a matter for the public record when in fact it is presumed by the members of the private Bar Guild that the matter is a private Bar Guild business matter. Unless openly rebuked and rejected by stating clearly the matter is to be on the Public Record, the matter remains a private Bar Guild matter completely under private Bar Guild rules; and
2. The Presumption of Public Service is that all the members of the Private Bar Guild who have all sworn a solemn secret absolute oath to their Guild then act as public agents of the Government, or "public officials" by making additional oaths of public office that openly and deliberately contradict their private "superior" oaths to their own Guild. Unless openly rebuked and rejected, the claim stands that these private Bar Guild members are legitimate public servants and therefore trustees under public oath; and
3. The Presumption of Public Oath is that all members of the Private Bar Guild acting in the capacity of "public officials" who have sworn a solemn public oath remain bound by that oath and therefore bound to serve honestly, impartiality and fairly as dictated by their oath. Unless openly challenged and demanded, the presumption stands that the Private Bar Guild members have functioned under their public oath in contradiction to their Guild oath. If challenged, such individuals must recuse themselves as having a conflict of interest and cannot possibly stand under a public oath; and
4. The Presumption of Immunity is that key members of the Private Bar Guild in the capacity of "public officials" acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates who have sworn a solemn public oath in good faith are immune from personal claims of injury and liability. Unless openly challenged and their oath demanded, the presumption stands that the members of the Private Bar Guild as public trustees acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates are immune from any personal accountability for their actions; and
5. The Presumption of Summons is that by custom a summons unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to accept a position (defendant, juror, witness) and jurisdiction of the court. Attendance to court is usually invitation by summons. Unless the summons is rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filed prior to choosing to visit or attend, jurisdiction and position as the accused and the existence of "guilt" stands; and
6. The Presumption of Custody is that by custom a summons or warrant for arrest unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to be a thing and therefore liable to be

detained in custody by “Custodians”. [This includes the dead legal fiction non-human “PERSON” that corporate-governments rules and regulations are written for.*] Custodians may only lawfully hold custody of property and “things” not flesh and blood soul possessing beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by rejection of summons and/or at court, the presumption stands you are a thing and property and therefore lawfully able to be kept in custody by custodians; and

7. The Presumption of Court of Guardians is the presumption that as you may be listed as a “resident” of a ward of a local government area and have listed on your “passport” the letter P, you are a pauper and therefore under the “Guardian” powers of the government and its agents as a “Court of Guardians”. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default a pauper, and lunatic and therefore must obey the rules of the clerk of guardians (clerk of magistrates court);

8. The Presumption of Court of Trustees is that members of the Private Bar Guild presume you accept the office of trustee as a “public servant” and “government employee” just by attending a Roman Court, as such Courts are always for public trustees by the rules of the Guild and the Roman System. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to state you are merely visiting by “invitation” to clear up the matter and you are not a government employee or public trustee in this instance, the presumption stands and is assumed as one of the most significant reasons to claim jurisdiction – simply because you “appeared”; and

9. The Presumption of Government acting in two roles as Executor and Beneficiary is that for the matter at hand, the Private Bar Guild appoint the judge/magistrate in the capacity of Executor while the Prosecutor acts in the capacity of Beneficiary of the trust for the current matter. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default the trustee, therefore must obey the rules of the executor (judge/magistrate); and

10. The Presumption of Executor De Son Tort is the presumption that if the accused does seek to assert their right as Executor and Beneficiary over their body, mind and soul they are acting as an Executor De Son Tort or a “false executor” challenging the “rightful” judge as Executor. Therefore, the judge/magistrate assumes the role of “true” executor and has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by not only asserting one’s position as Executor as well as questioning if the judge or magistrate is seeking to act as Executor De Son Tort, the presumption stands and a judge or magistrate of the private Bar guild may seek to assistance of bailiffs or sheriffs to assert their false claim; and

11. The Presumption of Incompetence is the presumption that you are at least ignorant of the law, therefore incompetent to present yourself and argue properly. Therefore, the judge/magistrate as executor has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to the fact that you know your position as executor and beneficiary and actively rebuke and object to any contrary presumptions, then it stands by the time of pleading that you are incompetent then the judge or magistrate can do what they need to keep you obedient; and

12. The Presumption of Guilt is the presumption that as it is presumed to be a private business meeting of the Bar Guild, you are guilty whether you plead “guilty”, do not plead or plead “not guilty”. Therefore unless you either have previously prepared an affidavit of truth and motion to dismiss with extreme prejudice onto the public record or call a demurrer, then the presumption is you are guilty and the private Bar Guild can hold you until a bond is prepared to guarantee the amount the guild wants to profit from you.

PRESENTATION 2

EXPOSING the FRAUD

Now that we know some history about our situation and we know **HOW** it happened, by **FRAUD**, and **WHO** abused our TRUST, let's now see how the **United Nations, WEF/World Economic Forum, WHO/World Health Org.** and other **NGO's/Non-Governmental Organizations** are involved in this **CONSPIRACY** for a **"NEW WORLD ORDER."** Through the combination of **PUBLIC / PRIVATE / PARTNERSHIPS (PPP)**

We know now that it began some 150 years ago with the **BNA ACT** of 1867 and has continued to this day. **PEACE, ORDER, and GOOD GOVERNANCE** is what **"WE the PEOPLE"** intend to leave to our children!

In 2004 many of the powers of the Municipalities and Villages were removed from the **LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT** and incorporated into the **COMMUNITY CHARTER**. The *De-Jure and Lawful* office of the Mayor and Councillors where they swear their **"OATH" of Public Office** lawfully, and therefore have **jurisdiction** to collect taxes or charge us directly. However, our leaders are no longer functioning for the **Public** and are serving as International **GLOBAL** entities, given the title of **GLOBAL MAYOR** or **HEAD of COUNCIL**. In this position they swear a **"Declaration of Office"** thereby no longer working for their community, they're now working for the **United Nations as a UN City State**. This puts our Mayors and Councillors in a *De-Facto and UnLawful* office, without the authority to collect taxes.

*** Williams Lake is a 15 min. City...which is a GLOBAL entity ***

Moving on...in 1992 at the **RIO SUMMIT**, the CEO's of many countries signed into the **United Nations Agenda 21**, the CORPORATION of CANADA through the signature of Brian Mulroney did likewise. With the stroke of "HIS" pen CANADA became a **United Nations Post Nation State** and we were committed through **FRAUD** to the **"UN Stainable Goals to STOP Climate Change.**

In January of 2011 through CTV News, Canada's Intelligence Officer, CSIS Director Richard Fadden warned of Foreign Countries Infiltrating CANADA, and was quoted saying, " These clandestine efforts by Foreign Governments (**NGO's**) to influence our officials, policies, and communities, have the potential to undermine our ability to make independent decisions in CANADA's National Interests" going on to say "CSIS has suspicions about a number of Municipal Politicians in BC."

*** Remember BC is registered in Hong Kong? ***

There are documents to confirm these FACTS:

- 1) AGENDA 21 PLANNING GUIDE** went to every local area
- 2) the UN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS** went WORLDWIDE
(the rainbow circle we see everywhere)
- 3) In 1994 the MUNICIPAL PRIMER on the UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE on ENVIRONMENTAL and DEVELOPMENT** found itself being implimented WORLDWIDE
- 4) Who the INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL for ENVIRONMENTAL INITIATIVES is... **ICLEI****
- 5) How the FEDERATION of CANADIAN MUNICIPALITIES** fits into the **GLOBAL** agenda

With all this happening... **Where does our Mayor sit? Public, Private, PPP?**

- What has our Mayor and Councillors signed?
OATHS, COVENANTS, AFFIRMATIONS? We need to know.
- How is it that with the stroke of a pen only the MAYOR and CAO (Chief Administration Officer) commit our community to various agendas, and expensive infrastructure projects, to which **We**, the taxpayer are responsible for,
Un-Lawfully
- Does the Mayor realize that "HE" would be left "Holding the personal liability for the **FRAUD?**" I would think not! Our Mayors are our neighbours, the councillors

our friends.

- Did they have agendas thrust upon them before getting used to their new positions, or having had time to consider the repercussions of their decisions? We need to find out.

BECAUSE... NOTHING could have or CAN be implemented in our communities without our local governments have been usurped by the **NGO'S** and **PPP's**.
(Like Bodily Autonomy in 2020)

AGENDA 2030

Enforces on the population of the world the following;

- "You will own Nothing and be Happy"

- **CBDC/ Central Bank Digital Currency/ Cashless Society** They've owned our bank since Trudeau Sr. signed it away in 1974

- Digital Identity Passports like China has already

- **NO** woodburning stoves, gas or oil heating **ELECTRIC ONLY**

- Limited meat and dairy (if at all) Westwold farmers had their water shut off in 2023, despite that it came from aquifers that metered in the normal range. **"EAT the BUGS"** I say **"YOU FIRST!"**

- **SOGI / Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Program** in our schools without parental consent. Furthermore, the United Nations wants the age of consent lowered to 12 years old and Pedophiles called Minor Attracted Persons. No Way!!!!!!

- Their **30 x 30 AGENDA** where 30% of all lands with be OFF LIMITS to humans, the humans will be living in "**Human Settlements**" not my words, it's their words and not sounding at all appealing. WHY?

"CLIMATE CHANGE"

(being implemented with BILL 31 in BC)

The EMERGENCY and DISASTER MANAGEMENT ACT

Put simply...if WE are to maintain OUR Freedoms, Property Rights and Liberty to choose HOW and WHERE we wish to live, leaving those same freedoms to our future generations, then WE MUST talk about these revelations, and uncomfortable truths. In doing so we can make well informed decisions, with FULL TRANSPARENCY, INFORMED CONSENT, and in a *Lawful jurisdiction*.

Many decisions are already underway without our consent as we have already been *Un-Lawfully Contracted*. Who will be responsible for THAT!

**"CANADIANS do NOT
have an ABSOLUTE RIGHT to
own PRIVATE PROPERTY"**

Federal Justice Minister

David Lametti ; Spring 2022

**"YOU WILL OWN NOTHING
and BE HAPPY"**

WEF / World Economic Forum

Founder Klaus Schwab

Contact Information: