

Community Works Funding Assessment Form

Project:	Project: Pine Valley Pre-Treatment Plant Design			
Project Risk and Scoring Crite		97		
Total Requisiton	\$	46,134.00		
Total Project Budget			250,000.00	
Requisition increase required without CWF Funding			54,712.00	
Total Community Works Funding Requested			250,000.00	
Total Grant Funding Secured, excluding CW	\$	-		
Total Reduction to Annual Requisition Incre	\$	27,356.00		
Increase to Requisition Remaining	\$	27,356.00		
Remaining Project Budget to be Funded	\$	-		

Original Requisition increase required Proportion of project funded by CWF Proportion of project funded by grants. CWF cannot stack with federal grants. Reduction in required requisition for project to proceed 118.59% 100.00% 0.00%

-50.00% 59.30% Requisition Increase required with CWF Funding Approval

0.00% Remaining Project Costs

	Unweighted	Weighted Score			
Category	Score	Weighted Score		Select Applicable Description	Comments/Justifications
Safety/Risk Management	94				
Consequence of Asset Failure Extreme	40		IMPACT:	Impact - Severe (Extreme Risk to Public)	
Consequence of Asset Failure Extreme	40		LIKELIHOOD:	Likelihood - Almost Certain (>90% chance)	
Safety	24				
Safety - Public	12	38		Documentation of potential for incidents to occur.	
Safety - OHS	12			Documentation of potential for incidents to occur.	
External Mandate	30				
Permit Compliance	30			Required for permit compliance	
Legislation	21			Recommendation from legislative authority or non-adherence	
•				to code (risk of injury)	
WorkSafe BC	21			WCB order is expected/imminent.	
Strategic Considerations	210				
Reduction of Environmental Impact	0			No reduction of environmental impacts.	
Climate Change Resilience	0			No Climate Change resilience component to project	
Long-Term Planning	100			Consistent with all of OCP, Strategic Plan, Service Master	
		10		Plan, and Asset Management Plan	
Community Growth Impact	70			Project supports limited community growth (infill, development,	
				or expansion)	
Accessibility	40			Maintains access to services for persons with disabilities or	
Accest Our mostle are O. Melatamana	24			from vulnerable populations.	
Asset Operations & Maintenance	91			A	
Urgency	16			Asset has experienced a critical failure and is deemed non-	
Capacity	25	18		repairable. Current demand exceeds capacity.	
Redundancy	30	10		No redundancy	
Reduitabley				Enables staff to be significantly more efficient daily activities.	
Efficiencies to Operations	20			(expect corresponding budget savings)	
Project Readiness	86			(expect corresponding budget savings)	
Design Stage				Consulting Services - Defined scope of work and exclusions for	
Design Stage	40	26		eligible project design work.	
Estimate Classification / Confidence	40	20		S (+/-5%) - Consulting Services for eligible project design	
Secured Funding Sources	6			Reliant on external funding yet to be secured	
Public Impact/Benefit	55			Tronant on oxformal famility for to be occurred	
Reduction of Taxation Impacts	30			Requisition increase reduced by 50%<75% if approved	
Population Served				Service area population 5%<10% of total CRD population	
· sparation control	8	6		(3,100-6,200)	
Service Level Outcomes	8			Will meet service level objectives.	
Operational Savings	9			Operational savings (no budget transfer).	