

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Date: 06/08/2025

To: Chair and Directors, Cariboo Regional District Board

And To: Murray Daly, Chief Administrative Officer

From: Nigel Whitehead, Manager of Planning Services

Date of Meeting: Cariboo Regional District Board_Aug15_2025

File: 3090-20/20250030

Short Summary:

Area B - DVP20250030

5230 Lakeshore Rd

Parcel B (Being A consolidation of Lots 15 and 16, See CB1666947), District Lot 6195, Cariboo District, Plan 20898

(3090-20/20250030 – Jude Investments Inc.) (Agent: Phil Harrison – Harrison Design)

Director Bachmeier

Voting:

Stakeholder Vote - Unweighted - All Electoral Areas

Memorandum:

See planning comments on attached information package.

Attachments:

Information Package

Financial Implications:

N/A

Policy Implications:

N/A

Alignment with Strategic Plan:

- ☐ Infrastructure and Asset Management: To establish a systematic, predictable approach to managing the regional district's assets and infrastructure that builds on current asset management data and condition assessments.
- Enhanced Communications and Engagement: To build trust and credibility of the regional district by enhancing our communications and engagement with citizens, stakeholders, and volunteers.

\boxtimes	Effective and Responsive Land Use Planning and Development: To ensure our land use planning
	and development is responsive to future growth and housing needs, anticipates risks and hazards
	associated with climate change and provides efficient and consistent processes for landowners
	and developers.

Relationships with First Nations : To foster a healthy and inclusive region by building and
strengthening our relationships with First Nations and embracing the principles of reconciliation.

Click here to enter text.

Options:

- 1. Endorse recommendation;
- 2. Deny;
- 3. Defer.

Recommendation:

That the application for a Development Variance Permit pertaining to Parcel B (Being A consolidation of Lots 15 and 16, See CB1666947), District Lot 6195, Cariboo District, Plan 20898 be rejected as the proposed size, scale and the intended use of the ancillary building are not in keeping with the neighbourhood character.